



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,260	11/25/2003	Sethu K. Madhavan	GP-304329 2760/154	6376
75	590 09/23/2005		EXAM	INER
General Motors Corporation			RAMOS FELICIANO, ELISEO	
300 Renaissanc				
Mail Code 482-C23-B21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 300			2687	
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			DATE MAIL ED: 09/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/722,260	MADHAVAN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano	2687				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 N	ovember 2003					
	· · ·	action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits is				
,	closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	a .				
	on Papers	,					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
			ad to by the Everiner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not received	.t				
Attachment	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	e				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frisbie (US Patent Number 3,731,201).

Regarding claims 1, 7 and 12, Frisbie discloses a method (corresponding system and computer usable medium including computer program code) for establishing a telephony data connection to a receiver the invention comprising:

initiating the telephony connection (call) at a source location (telephone – column 4, lines 41-44);

generating a carrier signal for the connection (Figure 2A);

modifying the carrier signal (Figure 2B, 2C);

sending the modified carrier signal to the receiver (radio telephone system – column 4, lines 34-44). See column 3, lines 1-31.

However, Frisbie fails to specify that the telephony connection is data and receiving identification data from the receiver as claimed. Nevertheless, both features are either suggested by Frisbie or well known in the art. The Examiner takes Official Notice of these notions.

For example, Frisbie teaches that the unit may be adapted to work into a mobile type telephone system, thereby providing a personal telephone (column 4, lines 42-44). Conventional

mobile telephones can transmit/receive data; therefore, initiate a telephony data connection. For the advantage of enhanced services, increased versatility, and therefore, to make it more attractive for sales. In addition, a personal telephone in a mobile type telephone system must receive identification data from the receiver (network/base station) in order to properly communicate. This identification data can be mobile ID, base station ID, network ID, etc.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Frisbie with telephony data connection and with receiving identification data from the receiver for the advantage of enhanced services, increased versatility, and therefore, to make it more attractive for sales; in addition, identification data from the receiver is necessary in order to properly communicate; as suggested by Frisbie.

Regarding claims 2, 8 and 13, Frisbie discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claims 1, 7 and 12). In addition, the modified carrier signal (for example, Figure 2B) includes a period of quiescence (between B1 and B2) in the carrier signal at a substantially regular interval (the quiescence interval is regular for steady state – column 3, lines 3-11).

Regarding claims 3, 5-6, 9-11, 14 and 16-17, Frisbie discloses everything claimed as applied above (see *claims 1-2, 7-8 and 12-13*). However, Frisbie fails to specify that the carrier signal has a frequency of 2225 Hz; that the period of quiescence has a duration of substantially 240 milliseconds; or that the interval has a duration of substantially 3 seconds.

Nevertheless, these are particular requirements of a particular system; therefore, an obvious expedient of engineering design choice.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to specify that the carrier signal has a frequency of 2225 Hz; that the

Art Unit: 2687

period of quiescence has a duration of substantially 240 milliseconds; or that the interval has a duration of substantially 3 seconds as claimed because these are particular values/requirements would result to be the best engineering design choice for that system in particular.

Regarding claims 4 and 15, Frisbie discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claims 1 and 12). In addition, the receiver can be fairly characterized as a telematics unit (column 4, lines 34-44).

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano whose telephone number is 571-272-7925. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. on 5-4/9 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester G. Kincaid, can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ELISEO RAMOS-FELICIANO PATENT EXAMINER

ERF/erf September 17, 2005